18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WESTERN DIVISION

TODD R. G. HILL, et al,

Plaintiffs

VS.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, **OFFICERS AND AGENTS AND** INDIVIDUALS OF THE PEOPLES COLLEGE OF LAW, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:23-cv-01298-JLS-BFM

The Hon. Josephine L. Staton Courtroom 8A, 8th Floor

Magistrate Judge Brianna Fuller Mircheff Courtroom 780, 7th Floor

NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF DOCKET **RE: PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF** PRESERVATION UNDER LOCAL RULE 83-3 REGARDING JUDICIAL CONDUCT **IRREGULARITIES**

NO ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

4

7

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27 28 NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF DOCKET RE: PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF PRESERVATION UNDER LOCAL RULE 83-3 REGARDING JUDICIAL CONDUCT IRREGULARITIES

TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND ALL PARTIES OF RECORD:

Plaintiff respectfully submits this Notice of Withdrawal regarding the document titled

"PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF PRESERVATION UNDER LOCAL RULE 83-3 REGARDING

JUDICIAL CONDUCT IRREGULARITIES," submitted via EDSS (Tracking No. EDS-250703-002-1878) on July 3, 2025, and pending docketing as of this filing.

Upon further review, Plaintiff recognizes that the original filing, while procedurally restrained and grounded in factual assertions, may be misconstrued due to its title and citation to Local Rule 83-3, which governs attorney discipline. To ensure clarity of purpose, avoid mischaracterization, and maintain a precise procedural record, Plaintiff hereby withdraws the filing in its entirety.

A revised and clarified version of the notice will be submitted concurrently. The updated filing reflects the same factual concerns but is retitled and reformulated to expressly clarify its reliance on Rule 54(b), Rule 59(e), Rule 60(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 455(a), rather than Local Rule 83-3, and is intended solely to preserve the procedural record and support pending or anticipated motions. No undue prejudice will result to any party from this withdrawal.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 4, 2025



Todd R. G. Hill Plaintiff, Pro Se

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 11-6.1

The undersigned party certifies that this brief contains 198 words, which complies with the 7,000-word limit of L.R. 11-6.1.

Respectfully submitted,



July 4, 2025 Todd R.G. Hill Plaintiff, in Propria Persona **Plaintiff's Proof of Service**

This section confirms that all necessary documents will be properly served pursuant to L.R. 5-3.2.1 Service. This document will be/has been electronically filed. The electronic filing of a document causes a "Notice of Electronic Filing" ("NEF") to be automatically generated by the CM/ECF System and sent by e-mail to: (1) all attorneys who have appeared in the case in this Court and (2) all pro se parties who have been granted leave to file documents electronically in the case pursuant to L.R. 5-4.1.1 or who have appeared in the case and are registered to receive service through the CM/ECF System pursuant to L.R. 5-3.2.2. Unless service is governed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 or L.R. 79-5.3, service with this electronic NEF will constitute service pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the NEF itself will constitute proof of service for individuals so served. Respectfully submitted,



July 4, 2025 Todd R.G. Hill Plaintiff, in Propria Persona